| 1  | ALLISON M. BROWN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac</i> alli.brown@kirkland.com  | Vice)   |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  | JESSICA DAVIDSON (Admitted Pro Hac V   | lice)   |  |
| 3  | jessica.davidson@kirkland.com<br>CHRISTOPHER D. COX (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )                              |   |  |
| 4  | christopher.cox@kirkland.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  601 Lexington Avenue   |   |  |
| 5  |  |   |  |
|    | New York, NY 10022   |   |  |
| 6  | Telephone: (212) 446-4800  |   |  |
| 7  | MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)   |   |  |
| 8  | mshortnacy@shb.com   |   |  |
| 9  | SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 285-8330 |   |  |
| 10 |  |   |  |
|    |  |   |  |
| 11 | PATRICK OOT (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  |   |  |
| 12 | oot@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  1800 K St. NW Ste. 1000 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 783-8400  |   |  |
| 13 |  |   |  |
| 14 |  |   |  |
| 15 | Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC                                |   |  |
| 16 |  |   |  |
|    |  |   |  |
| 17 |  |   |  |
| 18 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION                            |   |  |
| 19 |  |   |  |
| 20 |  |   |  |
| 21 | IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  | Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB  |  |
| 22 | PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT<br>LITIGATION   | DECLARATION OF  |  |
| 23 | EITIGITIET   | MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY IN SUPPORT                                   |  |
|    |  | OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH |  |
| 24 |  | AMENDED PTO 10  |  |
| 25 | This Document Relates to:  | Date: February 13, 2026<br>Time: 10:00 a.m.                       |  |
| 26 | Jane Doe TP v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07484-CRB  | Time: $10:00$ a.m.<br>Courtroom: $6-17$ th Floor                  |  |
| 27 |  |   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
|    |  |   |  |

M. SHORTNACY DECL. ISO DEFS.' MTN TO DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AMENDED PTO 10

| 1  | Jane Doe NT v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07540-CRB        |
|----|--|
| 2  | Jane Doe LF v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,                                      |
| 3  | et al., No. 3:25-cv-07542-CRB  |
| 4  | Jane Doe JL v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07545-CRB        |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | A.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07668-CRB               |
| 7  | Jane Doe LS 639 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07616-CRB |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Jane Doe LS 640 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07628-CRB |
| 10 | S.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,                                     |
| 11 | No. 3:25-cv-07695-CRB  |
| 12 | C.F. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07698-CRB               |
| 13 | A.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07699-CRB               |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | K.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07700-CRB               |
| 16 | J.K.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,                                   |
| 17 | No. 3:25-cv-07734-CRB  |
| 18 | D.V. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07747-CRB               |
| 19 | M.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,                                     |
| 20 | No. 3:25-cv-07753-CRB  |
| 21 | Jane Roe CL 6 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-05892-CRB   |
| 22 | Jane Doe LS 643 v. Uber Technologies,  |
| 23 | Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08050-CRB  |
| 24 | J.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08073-CRB               |
| 25 | Jane Doe NLG (R.K.) v. Uber  |
| 26 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07976-CRB                            |
| 27 | A.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,                                     |
| 28 | No. 3:25-cv-08075-CRB  |

| 1        | Jane Doe LS 646 v. Uber Technologies,                                   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08086-CRB                                     |
| 3        | M.J. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08088-CRB       |
| 4        | Jane Doe NLG (N.H.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv- |
| 5        | 08109-CRB   |
| 6        | Jane Doe NLG 5 (A.H.) v. Uber   |
| 7        | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08112-CRB                       |
| 8        | B.K. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08170-CRB       |
| 9        | Jane Doe NLG (N.S.) v. Uber   |
| 10       | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08186-CRB                       |
| 11       | Jane Doe NLG (A.C.) v. Uber   |
| 12       | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08187-CRB                       |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | S.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08317-CRB       |
| 15       | S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08326-CRB          |
| 16<br>17 | C.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08329-CRB          |
| 18       | SC.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08331-CRB      |
| 19       | Jane Roe CL 211 v. Uber Technologies,                                   |
| 20       | Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08397-CRB                                     |
| 21       | Jane Doe NLG (D.S.) v. Uber   |
| 22       | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08258-CRB                       |
| 23       | Jane Doe NLG 2 (J.C.) v. Uber   |
| 24       | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08259-CRB                       |
| 25       | Jane Doe NLG (M.U.) v. Uber   |
| 26       | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08264-CRB                       |
| 27       | D.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,                                |
| 28       | No. 3:25-cv-08420-CRB   |

| 1  | C.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08442-CRB                         |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | R.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08449-CRB                         |
| 4  | E.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08451-CRB                      |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | Jane Roe CL 212 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08470-CRB           |
| 7  | J.G. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,   |
| 8  | No. 3:25-cv-08507-CRB  |
| 9  | D.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08519-CRB                         |
| 10 | Jane Doe NLG 4 (J.L.) v. Uber  |
| 11 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08523-CRB                                      |
| 12 | Jane Doe NLG 2 (V.F.) v. Uber  |
| 13 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08545-CRB                                      |
| 14 | Jane Doe NLG (J.O.) v. Uber  |
| 15 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08567-CRB                                      |
| 16 | P.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,   |
| 17 | No. 3:25-cv-08574-CRB  |
| 18 | Jane Doe NLG 2 (T.B.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08633-CRB |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Jane Doe NLG 2 (S.G.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08707-CRB |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Jane Doe NLG 3 (B.G.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08732-CRB |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Jane Doe NLG 2 (J.H.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08736-CRB |
| 25 |  |
| 26 | Jane Doe NLG (Y.H.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08742-CRB   |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |

| 1  | Jane Roe CL 216 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08746-CRB         |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | Jane Doe NLG (V.S.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>08757-CRB |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | Jane Roe CL 218 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08789-CRB         |
| 6  | D.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08807-CRB                       |
| 7  | E.W. v. Uhov Tachvalogies Inc. et al.  |
| 8  | E.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08818-CRB                    |
| 9  | J.J. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-08822-CRB                    |
| 10 | No. 3.23-cv-08822-CRB  |
| 11 | K.L.J. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08825-CRB                     |
| 12 | Jane Doe NLG 3 (P.L.) v. Uber  |
| 13 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08865-CRB                                    |
| 14 | Jane Doe NLG (M.S.) v. Uber  |
| 15 | Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-09006-CRB                                    |
| 16 | Jane Roe CL 219 v. Uber Technologies,<br>Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-09139-CRB         |
| 17 | Igna Daa NI C (M.O.) v. Uhav   |
| 18 | Jane Doe NLG (M.O.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>09181-CRB |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Jane Doe NLG (R.R.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>09182-CRB |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Jane Doe NLG (K.G.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>09184-CRB |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Jane Doe NLG (J.R.) v. Uber<br>Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-<br>09186-CRB |
| 25 |  |
| 26 | A.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,<br>No. 3:25-cv-09188-CRB                    |
| 27 | G.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,   |
| 28 | No. 3:25-cv-09190-CRB  |

FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AMENDED PTO 10

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 4967-1 Filed 01/09/26

Page 6 of 8

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

## **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY**

I, Michael B. Shortnacy, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Los Angeles, California. I respectfully submit this declaration in Support of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.'s, Raiser LLC's, and Raiser-CA, LLC's (collectively, "Defendants") motion to dismiss the cases of certain Plaintiffs for noncompliance with Amended Pretrial Order No. 10 ("Amended PTO 10").
- 2. I am a partner at the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., representing Defendants in this MDL. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of California, the Bar of the State of New York, and the Bar of the District of Columbia. I make this declaration based upon matters within my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.
- 3. In March 2024, the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 10 in this matter, requiring each Plaintiff to submit a "substantially complete" PFS that "answer[ed] all applicable questions," "[i]nclude[d] a signed declaration" or verification that the PFS answers are true and correct, "provide[d] duly executed record release Authorizations," and was accompanied by a production of "the requested documents." ECF No. 348 at 6. For Plaintiffs who filed their cases before March 26, 2024, the PFS were due within sixty days of that date. *Id.* at 5. For all other Plaintiffs, the PFS were due within 30 days of the case being filed in, removed to, or transferred to this MDL. *Id.* at 5-6.
- 4. On October 31, 2025, the parties filed a stipulation proposing amendments to PTO 10 ("Amended PTO 10"). ECF No. 4274. The Court granted the stipulation on November 3, 2025. ECF No. 4286.
- 5. Amended PTO 10 states that if a Plaintiff fails to timely and substantially comply with the Order, Uber may serve them with a "Notice of Overdue Discovery" identifying the Plaintiff's violation(s) and stating that if Plaintiff does not comply their case may be subject to dismissal. ECF No. 4274-1 at 8. If that Plaintiff then fails to cure within thirty days of service of the Notice of Overdue Discovery, Uber may move the Court for an Order dismissing the relevant Complaint without

Facsimile: (424) 204-9093

Attorney for Defendants

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER,

LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Amended PTO 10 also requires the Parties to meet and confer regarding any PFS deficiencies prior to Uber moving the Court for a dismissal without prejudice based on such deficiencies. However, this Motion only pertains to Plaintiffs that have failed to submit a PFS altogether (as opposed to submitting anything that Uber argues is deficient) and therefore this PTO conferral provision is not applicable here and no conferral is required.